arXiv:1612.06084v2 [hep-ph] 22 Dec 2016

Electromagnetic form factors of Λ_b in the Bethe-Salpeter equation approach

Liang-Liang Liu [a],* Chao Wang [b],† Ying Liu [(c)],‡ and Xin-Heng Guo [a§]

(a) College of Nuclear Science and Technology,

Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

(b) Center for Ecological and Environmental Sciences,

Key Laboratory for Space Bioscience and Biotechnology,

Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, 710072, People's Republic of China and

(c) Beijing No. 20 High school, Beijing 100085, People's Republic of China

The heavy baryon Λ_b is regarded as composed of a heavy quark and a scalar diquark which has good spin and isospin quantum numbers. In this picture, we calculate the electromagnetic (EM) form factors of Λ_b in the Bethe-Salpeter equation approach. We find that the shapes of the EM form factors of Λ_b are similar to those of Λ, which have a peak at about $\omega = 1$, but the amplitudes are much smaller than those of Λ.

PACS numbers: 13.40.Gp, 12.39.Ki, 14.20.Mr, 11.10.St

I. INTRODUCTION

The nucleon electromagnetic (EM) form factors describe the spatial distributions of electric charge and current inside the nucleon and they are intimately related to nucleon internal structure. They are not only important observable parameters but also a vital key to understand the strong interaction [1, 2]. There were a lot of experimental results on EM form factors of baryons [3–14] and mesons [15–18] during the past two decades.

The EM form factors of Λ and Σ were calculated in the framework of light-cone sum rule (LCSR) up to twist 6 [19, 20] . The authors provided a fit approach to predict the magnetic moment of a hadron. The Q^2-dependent EM form factors of the Λ baryon were obtained, and were fitted by the dipole formula to estimate the magnetic moment of the Λ baryon. It was found that the magnetic form factor approaches zero faster than the dipole formula with the increase of Q^2.

Recently, based on the experiments at BESIII and BaBar [21], the authors of Ref. [22]

*Electronic address: liu06˙04@mail.bnu.edu.cn

†Electronic address: chaowang@nwpu.edu.cn

‡Electronic address: yingliubnu@gmail.com

§Electronic address: corresponding author. xhguo@bnu.edu.cn

investigated the process $e^+e^- \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ in the near-threshold region with specific emphasis on the role played by the interaction in the final $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ state. Their calculation was based on the one-photon approximation for the elementary reaction mechanism, and they took into account rigorously the effects of the $\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda$ interaction in close analogy to the work on $e^+e \to \bar{p}p$ [23]. They gave the form factor ratio $|G_E|/|G_M|$ for Λ (Fig. 1), and found that the form factors ratio is 1 at the threshold. They also gave the total cross section and effective form factor $|G_{eff}|$ for $e^+e^- \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ (Figs. 2 and 3) with $|G_{eff}|$ being defined as

$$|G_{eff}| = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{e^+e^-\to\bar{\Lambda}\Lambda}}{\frac{4\pi\alpha^2\beta}{3s}\left[1+\frac{2M_\Lambda^2}{s}\right]}}, \tag{1}$$

where s is center-of-mass energy, M_Λ is the mass of Λ, α is the fine-structure constant and β is a phase-space factor. The differential cross section can be expressed as the following:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\alpha^2\beta}{4s}\left[|G_M(s)|^2(1+\cos^2\theta) + \frac{4M_\Lambda^2}{s}|G_E(s)|^2\sin^2\theta\right], \tag{2}$$

where θ is the scattering angle in the laboratory frame. As is shown in Figs. 2 and 3, the EM form factors of Λ have a sudden change when the total center-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s}$ changes in the range $2.2 \sim 2.3$ GeV.

In the present paper we will study the EM form factors of Λ_b in the quark-diquark picture. In this picture, Λ_b is regarded as a bound state of two particles: one is a heavy quark and the other is a quasiparticle made of two quarks, or diquark. This model has been successful in describing some baryons [24, 27–29]. Since the parity of the b-quark is positive, the parity of the diquark involved in the ground state baryon should also be positive. Since the isospin of Λ_b and the b-quark are zero the isospin of the diqaurk (ud) should be zero. Hence the spin of the diquark is also zero. In this picture, the Bethe-Salpeter (BS) equation for Λ_b has been studied extensively [30, 33–35, 37]. Then Λ_b can be described as $b(ud)_{00}$ [the first and second subscripts correspond to the spin and the isospin of the (ud) diquark, respectively]. We will calculate the EM form factors in the BS equation approach and compare the results with the EM form factors of Λ.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we will establish the BS equation for Λ_b as a bound state of $b(ud)_{00}$. In Section III we will derive EM form factors for Λ_b in the BS equation approach. In Section IV the numerical results for the EM form factors of Λ_b will be given. Finally, the summary and discussion will be given in Section V.

II. BS EQUATION FOR Λ_b

In the previous work [30, 33–35], the BS wave function of $b(ud)_{00}$ system is defined as

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, P) \;=\; \langle 0|T\psi(x_1)\varphi(x_2)|P\rangle, \tag{3}$$



FIG. 1: The ratio $|G_E/G_M|$ as a function of the total center-of-mass energy. The solid, dashed, and dash-dotted lines correspond to the $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ models I, II, and II from Ref. [25], respecticely, the dash-double-dotted and dotted lines correspond to the models K and Q described in Ref. [26] respecticely. (This figure is taken from Ref. [22].)

where $\psi(x_1)$ is the field operator of the b-quark at the position x_1, and $\varphi(x_2)$ is the field operator of the scalar diquark at the position x_2, $P = Mv$ is the momentum of the baryon. We use M, m_q, and m_D to represent the masses of the baryon, the b-quark and the diquark, respectively, and v to represent the baryon's velocity. We define the BS wave function in momentum space:

$$\chi(x_1, x_2, P) \;=\; e^{iPX} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{ipx} \chi_P(p), \tag{4}$$

where $X = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2$ is the coordinate of center mass, $\lambda_1 = \frac{m_q}{m_q+m_D}$, $\lambda_2 = \frac{m_D}{m_q+m_D}$, and $x = x_1 - x_2$. As in Refs. [30, 33–35], we can prove that the BS equation for the $b(ud)_{00}$ system has the following form in momentum space:

$$\chi_P(p) \;=\; S_F(p_1) \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} K(P,p,q) \chi_P(q) S_D(p_2), \tag{5}$$

where $p_1 = \lambda_1 P + p$ and $p_2 = \lambda_2 P - p$, $K(P,p,q)$ is the kernel that is the sum of all two-particle-irreducible diagrams, $S_F(p_1)$ and $S_D(p_2)$ are propagators of the quark and the scalar diquark, respectively. According to the potential model [30, 36], the kernel is assumed to have the following form:

$$-iK(P,p,q) \;=\; I \otimes IV_1(p,q) + \gamma_\mu \otimes \Gamma^\mu V_2(p,q), \tag{6}$$



FIG. 2: The total cross section for $e^+e^- \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$. For notation, see Fig. 1. (This figure is taken from Ref. [22].)



FIG. 3: The effective form factor $|G_{eff}|$ for $e^+e^- \to \Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$. For notation, see Fig. 1. (This figure is taken from Ref.[22].)

where $\Gamma^\mu = (p_2+q_2)^\mu \frac{\alpha_{seff}Q_0^2}{Q^2+Q_0^2}$ is introduced to describe the structure of the scalar diquark [30, 31], and Q_0^2 is a parameter that freezes Γ^μ when Q^2 is very small. In the high energy region the diquark form factor is proportional to $1/Q^2$, which is consistent with perturvative QCD calculations [32]. By analyzing the EM form factors of the proton, one can take $Q_0^2 = 3.2GeV^2$ [33]. V_1 and V_2 are the scalar confinement and one-gluon-exchange terms that have the following forms in the covariant instantaneous approximation [30, 33, 37, 38]:

$$\tilde{V}_1(p_t-q_t) \;=\; \frac{8\pi\kappa}{[(p_t-q_t)^2+\varepsilon^2]^2} - (2\pi)^2\delta^3(p_t-q_t)\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{8\pi\kappa}{(k^2+\varepsilon^2)^2}, \tag{7}$$

$$\tilde{V}_2(p_t-q_t) \;=\; -\frac{16\pi}{3}\frac{\alpha_{seff}}{(p_t-q_t)^2+\varepsilon^2}, \tag{8}$$

where p_t and q_t are the transverse projections of the relative momenta along the momentum P and are defined as $p_t^\mu = p^\mu - p_l v^\mu$ and $q_t^\mu = q^\mu - q_l v^\mu$ where $p_l = v\cdot p$ and $q_l = v\cdot q$, the second term of $\tilde{V}_1$ is introduced to avoid infrared divergence at the point $p_t = q_t$, ε is a small parameter to avoid the divergence in numerical calculations. The range of the parameter κ is $0.02 \sim 0.08$ GeV^3 [34, 35].

The quark and diquark propagators can be written as the following:

$$S_F(p_1) \;=\; \frac{i}{2\omega_q}\left[\frac{\not v\omega_q + (\not p_t + m_q)}{\lambda_1 M + p_l - \omega_q + i\epsilon} + \frac{\not v\omega_q - (\not p_t + m_q)}{\lambda_1 M + p_l + \omega_q - i\epsilon}\right], \tag{9}$$

$$S_D(p_2) \;=\; \frac{i}{2\omega_D}\left[\frac{1}{\lambda_2 M - p_l - \omega_D + i\epsilon} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2 M - p_l + \omega_D - i\epsilon}\right], \tag{10}$$

where $\omega_q = \sqrt{m_q^2 - p_t^2}$ and $\omega_D = \sqrt{m_D^2 - p_t^2}$. Considering $\not v u(v,s) = u(v,s)$ ($u(v,s)$ is the spinor of Λ_b with helicity s) , $\chi_P(p)$ can be written as [34]

$$\chi_P(p) \;=\; (f_1 + f_2\gamma_5 + f_3\gamma_5\not p_t + f_4\not p_t + f_5\sigma_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{t\alpha}p_{t\beta})u(v,s), \tag{11}$$

where f_i $(i = 1, ..., 5)$ are the Lorentz-scalar functions of p_t^2 and p_l. Considering the properties of $\chi_P(p)$ under parity and Lorentz transformations, Equation (11) can be simplified as the following:

$$\chi_P(p) \;=\; (f_1 + \not p_t f_2)u(v,s). \tag{12}$$

Defining $\tilde{f}_{1(2)} = \int \frac{dp_l}{2\pi} f_{1(2)}$, we find that the scalar BS wave functions satisfy the coupled integral equation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}_1(p_t) = & \frac{1}{4\omega_D\omega_q(-M+\omega_D+\omega_q)}\int\frac{d^3q_t}{(2\pi)^3}\{\\ & \left[(\omega_q+m_q)(\tilde{V}_1+2\omega_D\tilde{V}_2)-p_t\cdot(p_t+q_t)\tilde{V}_2\right]\tilde{f}_1(q_t)\\ & +\left[-(\omega_q+m_q)(q_t+p_t)\cdot q_t\tilde{V}_2+p_t\cdot q_t(\tilde{V}_1-2\omega_D\tilde{V}_2)\right]\tilde{f}_2(q_t)\}\\ & -\frac{1}{4\omega_D\omega_q(M+\omega_D+\omega_l)}\int\frac{d^3q_t}{(2\pi)^3}\{\\ & \left[(\omega_q-m_q)(\tilde{V}_1-2\omega_D\tilde{V}_2)+4p_t\cdot(p_t+q_t)\tilde{V}_2\right]\tilde{f}_1(q_t)\\ & +\left[(m_q-\omega_q)(q_t+p_t)\cdot q_t\tilde{V}_2-p_t\cdot q_t(\tilde{V}_1+2\omega_D\tilde{V}_2)\right]\tilde{f}_2(q_t)\},\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}_2(p_t) = & \frac{1}{4\omega_D\omega_q(-M+\omega_D+\omega_q)}\int\frac{d^3q_t}{(2\pi)^3}\{\\ & \left[(\tilde{V}_1+2\omega_D\tilde{V}_2)-(-\omega_q+m_q)\frac{(p_t+q_t)\cdot p_t}{p_t^2}\tilde{V}_2\right]\tilde{f}_1(q_t)\\ & +\left[\left((m_q-\omega_q)(\tilde{V}_1+2\omega_D\tilde{V}_2\right)\frac{p_t\cdot q_t}{p_t^2}-(q_t^2+p_t\cdot q_t)\tilde{V}_2\right]\tilde{f}_2(q_t)\}\\ & -\frac{1}{4\omega_D\omega_q(M+\omega_D+\omega_q)}\int\frac{d^3q_t}{(2\pi)^3}\{\\ & \left[-(\tilde{V}_1-2\omega_D\tilde{V}_2)+(\omega_q+m_q)\frac{(p_t+q_t)\cdot p_t}{p_t^2}\tilde{V}_2)\right]\tilde{f}_1(q_t)\\ & +\left[\frac{(m_q+\omega_q)(-\tilde{V}_1-2\omega_D\tilde{V}_2)}{p_t^2}p_t\cdot q_t+(q_t^2+p_t\cdot q_t)\tilde{V}_2)\right]\tilde{f}_2(q_t)\}.\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

It is noted that the second part of $\tilde{f}_i$ $(i=1,2)$ in Eqs. (13, 14) are of order $1/M_{\Lambda_b}$, which is very important to obtain the magnetic form factor.

In general, the BS wave function can be normalized in the condition of the covariant instantaneous approximation [34, 38]:

$$i\delta^{i_1i_2}_{j_1j_2}\int\frac{d^4qd^4p}{(2\pi)^8}\bar{\chi}_P(p,s)\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial P_0}I_p(p,q)^{i_1i_2j_2j_1}\right]\chi_P(q,s')=\delta_{ss'}, \tag{15}$$

where $i_{1(2)}$ and $j_{1(2)}$ represent the color indices of the quark and the diquark, respectively, $s^{(\prime)}$ is the spin index of the baryon Λ_b, $I_p(p,q)^{i_1i_2j_2j_1}$ is the inverse of the four-point propagator written as follows:

$$I_p(p,q)^{i_1i_2j_2j_1}=\delta^{i_1j_1}\delta^{i_2j_2}(2\pi)^4\delta^4(p-q)S_q^{(-1)}(p_1)S_D^{(-1)}(p_2). \tag{16}$$

III. EM FORM FACTORS OF Λ_b

Generally, the expressions of EM form factors of the spin-1/2 baryon B are defined by the matrix element of the EM current between the baryon states [17, 19, 20]:

$$\langle B(P',s')|j_\mu(x=0)|B(P,s)\rangle = \bar{u}(P',s')\left[\gamma_\mu F_1(Q^2) - i\frac{\sigma_{\mu\nu}q^\nu}{2M}F_2(Q^2)\right]u(P,s), \tag{17}$$

where $F_1(Q^2)$ and $F_2(Q^2)$ are Dirac and Pauli form factors, respectively, $u(P,s)$ denotes the baryon spinor with momentum P and spin s, M is the baryon mass, $Q^2 = -q^2 = -(P-P')^2$ is the squared momentum transfer, and j_μ is the EM current relevant to the baryon. In particular, for the proton and the neutron the form factors F_1 and F_2 have the following values at the point $Q^2 \to 0$, which corresponds to the exchange of low virtuality photon:

$$F_{1p(n)}(0) = 1(0), \tag{18}$$

$$F_{2p(n)}(0) = \kappa_{p(n)}, \tag{19}$$

where the indices p and n represent the proton and the neutron, respectively, and $\kappa_p = \mu_p - 1$ (μ_p is the magnetic momentum of the proton), $\kappa_n = \mu_n$ are the anomalous magnetic momenta of the proton and the neutron, respectively. In the perturbative QCD theory for the helicity-conserving form factor $F_1(Q^2)$, a dominant scaling behavior at large momentum transfer is predicted [39]:

$$F_1 \sim \left(\frac{1}{Q^2}\right)^{n-1}, \tag{20}$$

where n is the number of valence quarks in the hadron. The power counting can be justified by QCD factorization theorems which separate short-distance quark-gluon interactions from soft hadron wave functions [40–45]. Hence for a baryon we have

$$F_1 \sim \frac{1}{Q^4}. \tag{21}$$

The Pauli form factor F_2 requires a helicity flip between the final and initial baryons, which in turn requires, thinking of the quarks as collinear, a helicity flip at the quark level, which is suppressed at high Q^2. F_2 should have the following bahavior at high Q^2 [46, 47]:

$$F_2 \sim \frac{1}{Q^6}. \tag{22}$$

The Dirac and Pauli form factors are related to the magnetic and electric form factors $G_M(Q^2)$ and $G_E(Q^2)$:

$$G_M(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) + F_2(Q^2), \tag{23}$$

$$G_E(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) - \frac{Q^2}{4M^2}F_2(Q^2), \tag{24}$$

where M is the mass of a baryon. At small Q^2, G_E and G_M can be thought of as Fourier transforms of the charge and magnetic current densities of the baryon. However, at large momentum transfer this view does not apply. Considering Eqs. (21 - 24), at the large momentum transfer $|G_E|/|G_M|$ should be a stable value.

In our present work, we will calculate the EM form factors of Λ_b. When we consider the quark current contribution we have

$$\langle \Lambda_b(v',s')|j_\mu^{quark}|\Lambda_b(v,s)\rangle = \bar{u}(v',s')[g_{1q}(Q^2)\gamma_\mu + g_{2q}(Q^2)(v'+v)_\mu]u(v,s), \tag{25}$$

where $j_\mu^{quark} = \bar{b}\gamma_\mu b$, $v^{(\prime)} = P^{(\prime)}/M_{\Lambda_b}$ is the velocity of Λ_b.

Define $\omega = v'\cdot v = \frac{Q^2}{2M_{\Lambda_b}^2} + 1$ as the velocity transfer, g_{1q}, and g_{2q} become functions of ω [30, 34, 49]. When $\omega = 1$, to order $\frac{1}{M_{\Lambda_b}}$, we have the following relation [30]:

$$g_{1q}(1) + 2g_{2q}(1) \;=\; 1 + \mathcal{O}(1/M_{\Lambda_b}^2). \tag{26}$$



FIG. 4: The EM current is the sum of the quark current and the diquark current [48]

In our work, we will use Eq. (26) to normalize BS wave functions and neglect $1/M_b^2$ corrections [49]. This relation has been proven to be a good approximation [49] for a heavy baryon and proposed in [50–53] for mesons.

In the quark-diquark model, the electromagnetic current j_μ coupling to Λ_b is simply the sum of the quark and diquark currents, see Fig. 4. So we have the relation [17]:

$$j_\mu \;=\; j_\mu^{quark} + j_\mu^{diquark}, \tag{27}$$

where $j_\mu^{diquark} = \bar{D}\Gamma_\mu D$, Γ_μ is the vertex among the photon and the diquark which includes the scalar diquark form factor. Hence, we have

$$\langle \Lambda_b(v',s')|j_\mu|\Lambda_b(v,s)\rangle = \bar{u}(v',s')[g_1(Q^2)\gamma_\mu + g_2(Q^2)(v'+v)_\mu]u(v,s). \tag{28}$$

Comparing Equations (28) and (17), we have:

$$g_1 \;=\; F_1 - \frac{F_2}{2}, \tag{29}$$

$$g_2 \;=\; \frac{F_2}{4}. \tag{30}$$

It can be shown that the matrix elements of the quark current and the diquark current can be written as the following:

$$\langle \Lambda_b(v',s')|j_\mu^{quark}(x=0)|\Lambda_b(v,s)\rangle \;=\; \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\bar{\chi}(p')\gamma_\mu\chi(p)S_D^{-1}(p_2), \tag{31}$$

$$\langle \Lambda_b(v',s')|j_\mu^{diquark}(x=0)|\Lambda_b(v,s)\rangle \;=\; \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\bar{\chi}(p')\Gamma_\mu\chi(p)S_q^{-1}(p_1). \tag{32}$$

Hence, we can calculate g_1 and g_2 as the following:

$$g_1(\omega) \;=\; g_{1q}(\omega) - g_{1D}(\omega), \tag{33}$$

$$g_2(\omega) \;=\; g_{2q}(\omega) - g_{2D}(\omega), \tag{34}$$

where $g_{iq}(\omega)$ and $g_{iD}(\omega)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ are from quark and diquark current contributions, respectively. The minus signs in Eqs. (33, 34) are due to the relative charge between the quark and the diquark. So we have:

$$\bar{u}(v',s')[g_{1q}(\omega)\gamma_\mu + g_{2q}(\omega)(v'+v)_\mu]u(v,s) \;=\; \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\bar{\chi}(p')\gamma_\mu\chi(p)S_D^{-1}(p_2), \tag{35}$$

$$\bar{u}(v',s')[g_{1D}(\omega)\gamma_\mu + g_{2D}(\omega)(v'+v)_\mu]u(v,s) \;=\; \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\bar{\chi}(p')\Gamma_\mu\chi(p)S_q^{-1}(p_1). \tag{36}$$

IV. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

A. Solution of the BS wave functions

In order to solve Equations (13, 14), we define $M_{\Lambda_b} = m_b + m_D + E$ where E is the binding energy. Taking $m_b = 5.02$ GeV, $M_{\Lambda_b} = 5.62$ GeV we have $m_D + E = 0.6$ GeV for Λ_b [33]. We choose the diquark mass m_D to be from 0.70 to 0.80 GeV for Λ_b. So the binding energy E is from -0.2 to -0.1 GeV. The parameter κ is taken to change from 0.02 to 0.08 GeV^3 [35]. Hence, for each m_D, we can get a best value of α_{seff} corresponding to a value of κ. Generally, $\tilde{f}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ should decrease to zero when $p_t \to +\infty$. We change variables as the following:

$$p_t \;=\; \varepsilon + 3\log\left[1 + 0.3\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right], \tag{37}$$

where ε is a small parameter in order to avoid divergence in numerical calculations, the range of t is from -1 to 1. Now we can use Gaussian quadrature method to solve Eqs. (13, 14). Dividing the integration region into n small pieces (n is sufficiently large), the integral equations in Eqs. (13, 14) become the following matrix equations:

$$f_{1i} \;=\; A_{1ij}f_{1j} + B_{1ij}f_{2j} + A_{2ij}f_{1j} + B_{2ij}f_{2j}, \tag{38}$$

$$f_{2i} \;=\; A'_{1ij}f_{1j} + B'_{1ij}f_{2j} + A'_{2ij}f_{1j} + B'_{2ij}f_{2j}. \tag{39}$$

Comparing Eqs. (13, 14) and (38, 39), it is very easy to get the matrices $A^{(')}_{(1,2)}$ and $B^{(')}_{(1,2)}$ (where A and B contain Jacobian determinates). Solving matrix equations (38, 39) we can get numerical solutions of the BS wave functions. In Table I, we give the values of α_{seff} for $m_D = 0.70,\ 0.75,\ 0.80$ GeV for different κ.

	$\alpha_{seff}(\kappa = 0.02)$	$\alpha_{seff}(\kappa = 0.04)$	$\alpha_{seff}(\kappa = 0.06)$	$\alpha_{seff}(\kappa = 0.08)$
$m_D = 0.70$	0.72	0.76	0.78	0.80
$m_D = 0.75$	0.76	0.78	0.80	0.82
$m_D = 0.80$	0.80	0.82	0.84	0.86

TABLE I: Values of α_{seff} for Λ_b with different m_D (GeV) and κ (GeV^3).

In Figs. 5 and 6, we plot $\tilde{f}_i$ $(i = 1, 2)$ depending on $|p_t|$. We can see from these figures that for different α_{seff} and κ, the shapes of BS wave functions are quite similar. All the wave functions decrease to zero when $|p_t|$ is larger than about 2.5 GeV due to the confinement interaction.

B. Calculation of EM form factors of Λ_b

In order to solve Eq. (35), we use the following definitions:

$$\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} f'_1(p')f_1(p)S_D^{-1}(p_2) \;=\; k_0, \tag{40}$$

$$\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} f'_1(p')p_t^{\mu} f_2(p)S_D^{-1}(p_2) \;=\; k_1 v^{\mu} + k_2 v'^{\mu}, \tag{41}$$

$$\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} f'_2(p')p_t^{\mu'} f_1(p)S_D^{-1}(p_2) \;=\; k_3 v^{\mu} + k_4 v'^{\mu}, \tag{42}$$

$$\int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} f'_2(p')p_t'^{\mu}p_t^{\nu} f_2(p)S_D^{-1}(p_2) \;=\; k_5 g^{\mu\nu} + k_6 v'^{\mu}v^{\nu} + k_7 v^{\mu}v'^{\nu}, \tag{43}$$

where k_i $(1 = 1, 2, 3...7)$ are functions of ω. It is easy to prove

$$k_1 \;=\; -\omega k_2, \tag{44}$$

$$k_4 \;=\; -\omega k_3, \tag{45}$$

$$k_6 \;=\; 0, \tag{46}$$

$$k_5 \;=\; -\omega k_7. \tag{47}$$

Then, we have:



FIG. 5: (color online) The BS wave functions for Λ_b when $m_D = 0.75$ GeV.

$$k_0 = \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} f_1'(p') f_1(p) S_D^{-1}(p_2), \tag{48}$$

$$k_2 = \frac{1}{1-\omega^2} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} f_1'(p') p_t \cdot v' f_2(p) S_D^{-1}(p_2), \tag{49}$$

$$k_3 = \frac{1}{1-\omega^2} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} f_2'(p') p_t' \cdot v f_1(p) S_D^{-1}(p_2), \tag{50}$$

$$k_5 = \frac{1}{3} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} f_2'(p') p_t' \cdot p_t f_2(p) S_D^{-1}(p_2). \tag{51}$$

Define θ to be the angle between p_t and v_t' where $v_t' = v' - (v \cdot v')v$, then we have

$$|v_t'| = \sqrt{\omega^2 - 1}, \tag{52}$$

$$p_t \cdot v_t' = -|p_t||v_t'| \cos\theta. \tag{53}$$



FIG. 6: (color online) The BS wave functions for Λ_b when $\kappa = 0.06$ GeV^3.

Then we obtain the following relations:

$$p_t \cdot v'_t = -|p_t|\sqrt{\omega^2-1}\cos\theta, \tag{54}$$

$$p'_t \cdot v = p_l(1-\omega^2) + |p_t|\omega\sqrt{\omega^2-1}\cos\theta + m_D(\omega-1)^2. \tag{55}$$

$$p_t \cdot p'_t = (p_l\omega - |p_t|\sqrt{\omega^2-1}\cos\theta - m_D\omega)|p_t|\sqrt{\omega^2-1}\cos\theta - |p_t|^2. \tag{56}$$

Substituting Eqs. (9, 10, 52 - 56) into Eqs.(48 - 51), integrating p_l and using the relation $\tilde{f}'_{1(2)} = \int \frac{dp'_l}{2\pi} f'_{1(2)}$, k_i $(i = 0, 2, 3, 5)$ can be expressed in the terms of $\tilde{f}^{(\prime)}_{(1,2)}$. Similarly, for solving Eq. (36), we repeat the above process with S_F^{-1} being replaced by $S_D^{-1}(p_2)$, k_i $(i = 0, 1, 2...7)$ being replace by k'_i. Furthermore. in Eqs. (55, 56), we replace m_D by

$-m_b$. Finally, we obtain the following expressions for g_{1q}, g_{2q}, g_{1D}, and g_{2D}:

$$g_{1q} = k_0 - (\omega+1)(k_2+k_3) + \frac{k_5}{\omega}, \tag{57}$$

$$g_{2q} = 2\left(k_2 - \frac{k_5}{\omega}\right), \tag{58}$$

$$g_{1D} = 0, \tag{59}$$

$$g_{2D} = k_0' + 2(1-\omega)k_2' + \left(2+\frac{1}{\omega}\right)k_5'. \tag{60}$$

Substituting Eqs. (29, 30, 33, 34) into Eqs. (23, 24) and considering the diquark contribution the EM form factors G_E and G_M can be written as

$$G_E = g_{1q} - 2\omega(g_{2q} - g_{2D}), \tag{61}$$

$$G_M = g_{1q} + 6(g_{2q} - g_{2D}). \tag{62}$$

According to the recent experimental data of BESIII [21] shown in Fig 7, the EM form factors of Λ have a very large peak at small Q^2. In Ref. [19] the electric form factor of Λ depends on Q^2 from $1 \sim 7$ GeV, corresponding to ω from 1.5 to 4, and the result is shown in Fig. 8.



FIG. 7: (color online) Λ effective form factor (Data are taken from Ref. [21]).

With the normalization condition Eq. (26), solving Eqs. (35, 36), we give the EM form factors $G_E(\omega)$ and $G_M(\omega)$ in Figs. 9-12.

From Figs. 9-**??**, we find that for different m_D and κ, the shapes of G_E and G_M are similar. In the range of ω from 1.5 to 4, this trend is similar to Λ, but changing more quickly than Λ. From these figures, we also find that G_M decreases more rapidly than G_E as ω increases.

In the dipole model, $G_M(Q^2) = \frac{\mu}{(1+Q^2/m_0^2)^2}$, $\mu \propto 1/M$ (M is the mass of baryon) corresponds to the baryon magnetic moment and $m_0 = \sqrt{0.89}$GeV is a parameter [20]. There is no data for EM form factors of Λ_b at present. However, for different baryons (such as a and b) the ratio of $|G_E|$ and $|G_M|$, RM, should be of order M_b/M_a, i.e.



FIG. 8: Q^2-dependence of the electric form factor of Λ (This figure is taken from Ref. [19]).



FIG. 9: (color online) ω-dependence of the electric form factor of Λ_b for $m_D = 0.75$ GeV and different values of κ.

$$RM = |\frac{G_{Ma}}{G_{Mb}}| \sim \frac{M_b}{M_a}. \tag{63}$$



FIG. 10: (color online) ω-dependence of the magnetic form factor of Λ_b for $m_D = 0.75$ GeV and different values of κ.

For Λ and Λ_b, RM is about 0.194 in the dipole model. From Ref. [19] we know that the magnetic form factor of Λ decreases faster than that in the dipole model. So, we expect the real value of RM could be about $10^{-2} \sim 10^{-1}$. In the range of ω from 1.5 to 4.5 our result for $|G_{M\Lambda_b}|$ varies from about 0.007 to 0 and in Ref. [19] $|G_{M\Lambda}|$ varies from about 0.38 to 0. Their ratio agrees roughly with our expectation.

The form factor ratio $|\frac{G_E}{G_M}|$ is often used to describe the angular distributions and model dependence of the detection efficiency [55]. It can be directly measured in experiments. The results for the form factor ratio of Λ from BaBar Collaboration is [55],

$$|\frac{G_E}{G_M}| = 1.73^{+0.99}_{-0.57} \text{ for } (2.23 - 2.4\text{GeV}), \tag{64}$$

$$|\frac{G_E}{G_M}| = 0.71^{+0.66}_{-0.71} \text{ for } (2.40 - 2.80\text{GeV}), \tag{65}$$

where the data (64) are fitted from $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}$ threshold to 2.4 GeV and the data (65) are fitted



FIG. 11: (color online) ω-dependence of the magnetic form factor of Λ_b for $\kappa = 0.06$ GeV^3 and different values of m_D.

from 2.40 GeV to 2.80 GeV. Theoretically, at the threshold energy, the form factors ratio is 1 [55]. We give the form factor ratio $|\frac{G_E}{G_M}|$ for Λ_b in Figs. 13 and 14.

From Figs. 13 and 14, we can see that for different m_D and κ the value of $|\frac{G_E}{G_M}|$ approaches 1 when ω approaches 1. It agrees with the theoretical result. This means that Eq. (26) is a good approximation and can be used to normalize the BS wave functions for the heavy baryon instead of Eq. (15).

V. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

Nowadays, more and more data about Λ_b have been collected in experiments. In the quark-diquark picture, Λ_b is regarded as a bound state of a heavy b-quark and a light scalar diquark based on the fact that the light degrees of freedom in Λ_b have good spin and isospin quantum numbers. In this picture, we established the BS equation for Λ_b. Then



FIG. 12: (color online) ω-dependence of the electric form factor of Λ_b for $\kappa = 0.06$ GeV^3 and different values of m_D.

we solved the BS equation numerically by applying the kernel which includes the scalar confinement and the one-gluon-exchange terms. Then, we calculated the EM form factors of Λ_b, and compared the results with those of Λ. It was found that the EM form factors of Λ_b have a large peak at the threshold energy and the peak is much steeper than Λ. For different values of m_D and κ the EM form factors of Λ_b change in the range $0.018 \sim 0$ as ω changes form 1.2 to 4.5. The ratio of $|G_E|/|G_M|$ approaches 1 at small ω. This agrees with theoretical predictions. We found that the normalization relation (26) is a good approximation, which can replace the normalization relation of the BS wave function for the heavy baryon, Eq. (15).

Depending on the parameters m_D and κ in our model, our results vary in some ranges. We studied the uncertainties for G_E and G_M that can be caused by m_D and κ and find that these uncertainties are at most about 48% due to κ and 18% due to m_D. Our results need to be tested in future experimental measurements. In the future, our model can be



FIG. 13: (color online) ω-dependence of the form factor ratio $|\frac{G_E}{G_M}|$ for Λ_b. The results are for $m_D = 0.75$ GeV and different values of κ.

used to study other baryons such as the proton, the neutron, Λ and Λ_c.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under contract numbers 11275025 and 11575023.

[1] J. Arrington, C. D. Roberts, and J. M. Zanotti, J. Phys. G **34**, 523 (2007).

[2] C. F. Perdrisat, V. Punjabi, and M. Vanderhaeghen, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **59**, 694 (2007).

[3] R. C. Walker *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 5671 (1994); L. Andivahis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 5491 (1994); M. E. Christy *et al.* (E94110 Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **70**, 015206 (2004).



FIG. 14: (color online) ω-dependence the form factor ratio $|\frac{G_E}{G_M}|$ for Λ_b. The results are for $\kappa = 0.06$ GeV^3 and different values of m_D.

[4] J. Arrington, Phys. Rev. C **68**, 034325 (2003).

[5] I. A. Qattan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **94**, 142301 (2005); P. Bourgeois *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **97**, 212001 (2006).

[6] G. Kubon *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 524, **26** (2002).

[7] J. Volmer *et al.* [The Jefferson Lab F_Π Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett **86**, 1713 (2001).

[8] T. Horn *et al.* [F_Π Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett **97**, 192001 (2006)

[9] V. Tadevosyan *et al.* [Jefferson Lab F_Π Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **75**, 055205 (2007).

[10] T. Van Cauteren *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. A **20**, 283 (2004); T. Van Cauteren *et al.*, ArXiv: nucl-th/0407017.

[11] B. Kubis, T. R. Hemmert and U. G. Meissner, Phys. Lett. B **456**, 240 (1999); B. Kubis and U. G. Meissner, Eur. Phys. J. C **18**, 747 (2001).

[12] G. Ramalho, D. Jido, and K. Tsushima. Phys. Rev. D **85**, 093014 (2012).

[13] P. Bourgeois *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 212001 (2006).

[14] M. K. Jones *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1398 (2000); O. Gajou *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett **88**, 092301 (2002).

[15] V. M. Braun, A. Lenz, N. Mahnke and E. Stein, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 074011 (2002); V. M. Braun, A. Lenz, and M. Wittmann, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 094019 (2006); A. Lenz, M. Wittmann, and E. Stein, Phys. Lett. B **581**, 199 (2004).

[16] P. Colangelo, A. Khodjamirian, CERN-TH/2000-296, BARI-TH/2000-394.

[17] J. R. Green, J.W. Negele, and A. V. Pochinsky. Phys. Rev. D **90**, 074507 (2014).

[18] J. Franklin, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 033010 (2002).

[19] Y.-L. Liu and M.-Q. Huang. Phys. Rev. D **79**, 114031 (2009).

[20] Y.-L. Liu, M.-Q. Huang, D.-W. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **60**, 593 (2009).

[21] C. Morales [BESIII Collaboration], AIP Conf. Proc. **1735**, 050006 (2016).

[22] J. Haidenbauer, U. G. Meibner. Phys. Lett. B **761**, 456 (2016).

[23] J. Haidenbauer, X. W. Kang, U. G. Meibner, Nucl. Phys. A **929** 102 (2014).

[24] H. Meyer, Phys. Lett. B **337**, 37 (1994).

[25] J. Haidenbauer, K. Holinde, V. Mull, J. Speth, Phys. Lett. B **291** 223 (1992).

[26] J. Haidenbauer, K. Holinde, V. Mull, J. Speth, Phys. Rev. C **46** 2158 (1992).

[27] A. De Ruijula, H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 147 (1975).

[28] G. Karl, N. lsgur and D. W. L. Sprung, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 163 (1981).

[29] F. Close, An introduction to Quarks and Partons (Academic Press, London, 1979) p. 302; H. Meyer and P. J. Mulders, Nucl. Phys. A **528** 589 (1991).

[30] X.-H. Guo and T. Muta, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 4629 (1996).

[31] M. Anselmino, P. Kroll, B. Pire, Z. Phys. C. **36**, 89 (1987).

[32] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2157 (1980); S. J. Brodsky, G. P. Lepage, T. Huang, and P. B. MacKenzie, in Particles and Fields 2, edited by A. Z. Capri and A. N. Kamal (Plenum, New York, 1983), p. 83.

[33] L. Zhang and X.-H. Guo, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 076013 (2013).

[34] Y. Liu, X.-H. Guo, and C. Wang,Phys. Rev. D **91**, 016006 (2015).

[35] X.-H. Guo and H.-K. Wu, Phys. Lett. B **654**, 97 (2007).

[36] E. Eichten, K. Gottfried, T. Kinoshita, K. DLane, and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 3090 (1978).

[37] M.-H. Weng, X.-H. Guo, and A.W. Thomas, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 056006 (2011).

[38] X.-H. Guo and X.-H. Wu, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 056004 (2007).

[39] G. Peter Lepage and Stanley J. Brodsky. Phys. Lett. B **87**, 359 (1979).

[40] G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43**, 545 (1979); 43, 1625(E) (1979); Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2157 (1980).

[41] A. Efremov and A. Radyushkin, Phys. Lett. B **94**, 245 (1980).

[42] V. L. Chernyak and A. R. Zhitnitsky, JETP Lett. **25**, 510 (1977); Phys. Rep. **112**, 173

(1984).

[43] I. G. Aznaurian, S. V. Esaibegian, K. Z. Atsagortsian, and N. L. Ter-Isaakian, Phys. Lett. B **90**, 151 (1980); B **92**,371(E) (1980).

[44] V. A. Avdeenko, S. E. Korenblit, and V. L. Chernyak, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **33**, 252 (1981).

[45] C. E. Carlson and F. Gross, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 2060 (1987); N. G. Stefanis, Eur. Phys. J. C **1**, 7 (1999); A. Duncan and A. H. Mueller, Phys. Lett. B **90**, 159 (1979); A. H. Mueller, Phys. Rep. **73**, 689 (1981).

[46] V. Punjabi1, C. F. Perdrisat, M. K. Jones, E. J. Brash, and C.E. Carlson. Eur. Phys. J. A **51**, 1 (2015).

[47] S. Drell and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. L **24**, 181 (1970).

[48] V. Keiner, Z. Phys. A **354**, 87 (1996).

[49] X.-H. Guo, P. Kroll, Z. Phys. C **59**, 567 (1993).

[50] M. Neubert, V. Rieckert, Nucl. Phys. B **382** 97 (1992).

[51] M. Wirbel, B. Stech, M. Bauer, Z. Phys. C **29** 637 (1985).

[52] H. Leutwyler, M. Roos, Z. Phys. C **25**, 91 (1984).

[53] B. K*ö*nig, J. G. K*ö*rner, M. Kr*ä*imer, P. Kroll, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 4282 (1997).

[54] C. R. M*ü*nz, J. Resag, B. C. Metsch,, H. R. Petry, , Phys. Rev. C **52**, 2110 (1995).

[55] B. Aubert, BaBar Collaboration, *et al*., Phys. Rev. D **76**, 092006 (2007).